United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/815,647 04/02/2004		Kia Silverbrook	HYG001US	9664			
24011	7590	09/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ESEARCH PTY	CAPUTO	CAPUTO, LISA M			
393 DARLII BALMAIN,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTRALI	A			2876			
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	Application No.		Applicant(s) SILVERBROOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			0/815,647	SILVE					
			kaminer	Art Ur	nit				
		Lis	sa M. Caputo	2876					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspo	ondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailin ABANDONED (35 U.S	ig date of this co				
Status									
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 7/3/06.							
2a)□			ion is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition	,		atters, prosecution	on as to the	merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-38 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-38 are subject to	restriction and	d/or election requirer	nent.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepte	ed or b) objected to	o by the Examin	er.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drav	ving(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CF	R 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction i	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to	o. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action	or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:		·	§ 119(a)-(d) or	(f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •		0.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies			en received in th	is National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internatio See the attached detailed Office actio	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	at received					
	see the attached detailed Office actio	ii ioi a list oi ti	ne certined copies no	ot received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-41	13)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0706</u> .		5)	f Informal Patent Ap 	plication				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 3 July 2006. Upon further consideration and review of the case, the examiner has made a restriction within the case. Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2, 4-17, 26-35, and 38, drawn to a method of requesting assistance relating to a product item wherein the method is included in a sensing device, classified in class 235, subclass 435.
 - II. Claims 18-25 and 36-37, drawn to a method of requesting assistance relating to a product item wherein the method is included in a computer system, classified in class 235, subclass 375.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group II has separate utility such as it's use in a network in order to obtain information about a product. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Application/Control Number: 10/815,647

Art Unit: 2876

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2876

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Lisa M. Caputo* whose telephone number is (571) 272-2388. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30AM to 5:00PM Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [lisa.caputo@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L'isa M. Caputo

AU 2876

September 15, 2006